

Ruben Alvarez

20372677 STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

PROGRAM:	Master of Education in E	Elementary Education			
	ELM-590	START DATE:_	1/11/2018	END DATE:	4/25/2018
COOPERAT		Greenvale Park Elementary School			
SCHOOL ST	Minnesota				
COOPERAT	ING TEACHER/MENT	Josh Spitzack  OR NAME:			
GCU FACUL	LTY SUPERVISOR NAM	Laurie Ollhoff <b>ME</b> :			

FOR COURSE INSTRUCTORS ONLY:			
EVALUATION 2 TOTAL POINTS	96.86 points	%	



Ruben A	lvarez	2	20372677
STUDENT NAME		STUDENT NUMBER _	

InTASC Scoring Guide				
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target			Target	
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100	
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.	

Standard 1: Student Development	Score	N/A
<b>1.1</b> Teacher candidates create developmentally appropriate instruction that takes into account individual students' strengths, interests, and needs and enables each student to advance and accelerate his or her learning.	95	
<b>1.2</b> Teacher candidates collaborate with families, communities, colleagues, and other professionals to promote student growth and development.	98	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide					
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target			Target		
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100		
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.		

Standard 2: Learning Differences	Score	N/A
<b>2.1</b> Teacher candidates design, adapt, and deliver instruction to address each student's diverse learning strengths and needs and create opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning in different ways.	98	
<b>2.2</b> Teacher candidates incorporate language development tools into planning and instruction, including strategies for making content accessible to English language students and for evaluating and supporting their development of English proficiency.	95	
2.3 Teacher candidates access resources, supports, specialized assistance and services to meet particular learning differences or needs.	89	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide					
Not Applicable	Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target				
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100		
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.		

Standard 3: Learning Environments	Score	N/A
<b>3.1</b> Teacher candidates manage the learning environment to actively and equitably engage students by organizing, allocating, and coordinating the resources of time, space, and students' attention.	99	
<b>3.2</b> Teacher candidates communicate verbally and nonverbally in ways that demonstrate respect for and responsiveness to the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives students bring to the learning environment.	99	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide			
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target			Target
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.

Standard 4: Content Knowledge	Score	N/A
<b>4.1</b> Teacher candidates stimulate student reflection on prior content knowledge, link new concepts to familiar concepts, and make connections to students' experiences.	97	
<b>4.2</b> Teacher candidates use supplementary resources and technologies effectively to ensure accessibility and relevance for all students.	97	
<b>4.3</b> Teacher candidates create opportunities for students to learn, practice, and master academic language in their content area.	97	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide			
Not Applicable	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.

Standard 5: Application of Content	Score	N/A
<b>5.1</b> Teacher candidates engage students in applying content knowledge to real-world problems through the lens of interdisciplinary themes (e.g., financial literacy, environmental literacy).	99	
<b>5.2</b> Teacher candidates facilitate students' ability to develop diverse social and cultural perspectives that expand their understanding of local and global issues and create novel approaches to solving problems.	97	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide			
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target			Target
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.

Standard 6: Assessment	Score	N/A
6.1 Teacher candidates design assessments that match learning objectives with assessment methods and	95	
minimize sources of bias that can distort assessment results.  6.2		
Teacher candidates work independently and collaboratively to examine test and other performance data to understand each student's progress and to guide planning.		
<b>6.3</b> Teacher candidates prepare all students for the demands of particular assessment formats and make appropriate modifications in assessments or testing conditions especially for students with disabilities and language learning needs.		
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide			
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target			Target
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.

Standard 7: Planning for Instruction	Score	N/A
<b>7.1</b> Teacher candidates plan how to achieve each student's learning goals, choosing appropriate strategies and accommodations, resources, and materials to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of students.	97	
<b>7.2</b> Teacher candidates develop appropriate sequencing of learning experiences and provide multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill.	97	
<b>7.3</b> Teacher candidates plan for instruction based on formative and summative assessment data, prior student knowledge, and student interest.	97	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide										
Not Applicable	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target							
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100							
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.							

Standard 8: Instructional Strategies	Score	N/A
<b>8.1</b> Teacher candidates vary their role in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience) in	98	
relation to the content, purpose of instruction, and student needs 8.2		
Teacher candidates engage students in using a range of learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret, evaluate, and apply information.	96	
<b>8.3</b> Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different purposes (e.g., probing for student understanding, helping students articulate their ideas and thinking processes, stimulating curiosity, and helping students to question).	98	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide										
Not Applicable	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target							
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100							
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.							

Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice	Score	N/A
<b>9.1</b> Independently and in collaboration with colleagues, teacher candidates use a variety of data (e.g., systematic observation, information about students, and research) to evaluate the outcomes of teaching and learning and to adapt planning and practice.	98	
<b>9.2</b> Teacher candidates actively seek professional, community, and technological resources, within and outside the school, as supports for analysis, reflection, and problem solving.	98	
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

InTASC Scoring Guide										
Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target										
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100							
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.							

Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration	Score	N/A
10.1		
Teacher candidates use technological tools and a variety of communication strategies to build local and global learning communities that engage students, families, and colleagues.		
10.2		
Teacher candidates advocate to meet the needs of students, to strengthen the learning environment, and to enact system change.		
Comments		



Ruben Alvarez	20372677
STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER

		r accuracy and add	-		greement and Signature" section. CU Faculty Supervisor or by GCU
Total Scored Percentage:	96.86	%			
		Al	TACHMENTS		
Clinical Practice Time Log: (Required)	9				
Attachment 1: (Optional)					
Attachment 2: (Optional)					
		AGREEMEN	T AND SIGNATU	RE	
				•	entor Teacher. The GCU Faculty y prior to the evaluation meeting.
I attest this submission is acc	curate, true, and in	compliance with G	CU policy guidelines, to the	e best of my ability	y to do so.
GCU Faculty Supervisor E-Signature	Laurie Ollhoff (Mar 7, 2018)	H		Date	Mar 7, 2018



# Clinical Practice Time Log

STUDENT N	AME (	Last, Fir	st, Mida	lle):	STUDENT ID:					PROGRAM OF STUDY:							
11.		RUE	1							Masters of Elementary Educat							
PLACEMEN	THE THE PART OF TH					h00/5		, Greenvale Park Elementary									
KEY	Present	use: X=s	student co	ontact or	teacher c	ontract d	ay. Abser	ıt use: IW	=inclem	ent weath	er, DH=	district he	oliday, IL	= illness	O = Oth	ner	
WEEK	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11	Week 12	Week 13	Week 14	Week 15	Week 16	Week 17
Dates	1/8- 1/2	1/15-	1/22-	1/29-	2/5 -	2/12-2/16	2/19-		3/5 -	3/12 -	3/19-	3/26-	4/2 - 4/6	4/9-	4/16-		
Monday	X	DH	X	X	X	X	X	X				DH					
Tuesday	X	IW	X	X	X	X	X	X				DH					
Wednesday	X	IX	X	X	X	X	X	X				DH					
Thursday	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				DH					
Friday	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				DH					
Days to be made-up due to absences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									
Cooperating Teacher's Initials	JS	Js	72	JS	15	55	15	25									
Total Num	ber of Da	ays Comp	pleted:					Atte	ndance R	Requirem	ent:	] Met [	Not M	et			
If requirem	ent is "no	ot met," p	lease indi	icate your	plan to c	omplete ti	hese days										
Cooperati	ng Teach	ner Name	e:					Si	gnature:					I	Date:		
Teacher C								Si	gnature:					I	Date:		
GCU Fac	Faculty Supervisor Name: Signature: Date:																

The data entered into the Clinical Practice Time/Activity Log may be audited for accuracy by a College of Education Representative. Falsifying information is a form of Academic Dishonesty and is in direct violation of GCU's Code of Conduct Policy.